arXiv:math/0607518v1 [math.OA] 21 Jul 2006

C^*-ALGEBRAS ARISING FROM DYCK SYSTEMS OF TOPOLOGICAL MARKOV CHAINS

Kengo Matsumoto

Department of Mathematical Sciences,
Yokohama City University,
22-2 Seto, Kanazawa-ku, Yokohama, 236-0027 Japan

Abstract. Let A be an $N \times N$ irreducible matrix with entries in $\{0,1\}$. We define the topological Markov Dyck shift D_A to be a nonsofic subshift consisting of the $2N$ brackets $(_1, \dots, (_N,)_1, \dots,)_N$ with both standard bracket rule and Markov chain rule coming from A. The subshift is regarded as a subshift defined by the canonical generators $S_1^*, \dots, S_N^*, S_1, \dots, S_N$ of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$. We construct an irreducible λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ that presents the subshift D_A so that we have an associated simple purely infinite C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$. We prove that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ is a universal unique C^*-algebra subject to some operator relations among $2N$ generating partial isometries. Some examples are presented such that they are not stably isomorphic to any Cuntz-Krieger algebra.

Keywords: C^*-algebra, Cuntz-Krieger algebra, subshift, λ-graph system, Dyck shift, K-theory,

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 46L80; Secondary 46L55, 37B10.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be a finite set with its discrete topology, that is called an alphabet. Each element of Σ is called a symbol. Let $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be the infinite product space $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$, where $\Sigma_i = \Sigma$, endowed with the product topology. The transformation σ on $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by $\sigma((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is called the full shift over Σ. Let Λ be a closed subset of $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. The topological dynamical system $(\Lambda, \sigma|_\Lambda)$ is called a subshift or a symbolic dynamical system. It is written as Λ for brevity. There is a class of subshifts called sofic shifts, that contains the topological Markov shifts. Sofic shifts are presented by labeled graphs that are called λ-graphs.

In [Ma], the author has introduced a notion of λ-graph system as a generalization of λ-graph. A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ consists of a vertex set $V = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \cdots$, an edge set $E = E_{0,1} \cup E_{1,2} \cup E_{2,3} \cup \cdots$, a labeling map $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$ and a surjective map $\iota_{l,l+1} : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the set of all nonnegative integers. An edge $e \in E_{l,l+1}$ has its source vertex $s(e)$ in V_l, its terminal vertex $t(e)$ in V_{l+1} and its label $\lambda(e)$ in Σ.

The theory of symbolic dynamical system has a close relationship to formal language theory. In the theory of formal language, there is a class of universal

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

languages due to W. Dyck. The symbolic dynamics generated by the languages are called the Dyck shifts D_N (cf. [ChS], [Kr],[Kr2],[Kr3]). They are nonsofic subshifts. Its alphabet consists of the $2N$ brackets: $(_1, \dots, (_N,)_1, \dots,)_N$. The forbidden words consist of words that do not obey the standard bracket rules. In [KM], a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ that presents the subshift D_N has been introduced. The λ-graph system is called the Cantor horizon λ-graph system for the Dyck shift D_N. The K-groups for $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$, that are invariant under topological conjugacy of the subshift D_N, have been calculated ([KM]).

In [Ma2], a nuclear C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ associated with a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ has been introduced. The class of the C^*-algebras contain the class of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras. They are universal unique concrete C^*-algebras generated by finite families of partial isometries and sequences of projections subject to certain operator relations encoded by structure of the λ-graph systems. Its K-groups $K_i(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}), i = 0, 1$ are realized as the K-groups of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$. Therefore the results of [KM] imply that the C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$ for $N = 2, 3, \dots$ are unital simple purely infinite whose K-groups are

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z}), \qquad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}) \cong 0$$

where $C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the abelian group of all integer valued continuous functions on a Cantor discontinuum $\mathfrak{K}$ ([KM;Corolllary 3.17]). Let $u_1, \dots, u_N$ be the canonical generating isometries of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ that satisfy the relations: $\sum_{j=1}^N u_j u_j^* = 1$, $u_i^* u_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots N$. Then the bracket rule of the symbols $(_1, \dots, (_N,)_1, \dots,)_N$ of the Dyck shift D_N may be interpreted as the relations

$$u_i^* u_i = 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad u_i^* u_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

of the partial isometries $u_1^*, \dots, u_N^*, u_1, \dots, u_N$ in the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ (cf. (2.1)).

In the present paper, we consider a generalization of Dyck shifts D_N by using the canonical generators of Cuntz-Krieger algebras $\mathcal{O}_A$ for $N \times N$ matrices A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. The generalized Dyck shift is denoted by D_A and called the topological Markov Dyck shift for A (cf. [HIK], [KM2]). Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N$ be the alphabet of D_A. They correspond to the brackets $(_1, \dots, (_N,)_1, \dots,)_N$ respectively. Let $t_1, \dots, t_N$ be the canonical generating partial isometries of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ that satisfy the relations: $\sum_{j=1}^N t_j t_j^* = 1$, $t_i^* t_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j) t_j t_j^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$. Consider the correspondence $\varphi(\alpha_i) = t_i^*, \varphi(\beta_i) = t_i, i = 1, \dots, N$. Then a word w of $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$ is defined to be admissible for the subshift D_A precisely if the correspnoding element to w through φ in $\mathcal{O}_A$ is not zero. Hence we may recognize D_A to be the subshift defined by the canonical generators of the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$. The subshifts D_A are not sofic in general and reduced to the Dyck shifts if all entries of A are 1.

We consider the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ for the topological Markov Dyck shift D_A. The λ-graph system will be proved to be λ-irreducible with λ-condition (I) in the sense of [Ma5] if the matrix is irreducible with condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz-Krieger [CK]. Hence the associated C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ is simple and purely infinite because of [Ma5;Theorem 3.9]. We will show :

Theorem 1.1. *Let A be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose that A is irreducible with condition (I). The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ associated with the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ is unital, separable, nuclear, simple and purely infinite. It is the* *unique C^*-algebra generated by $2N$ partial isometries $S_i, T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ subject to the following operator relations:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N}(S_j S_j^* + T_j T_j^*) = 1, \tag{1.1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} S_j^* S_j = 1, \tag{1.2}$$

$$T_i^* T_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) S_j^* S_j, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \tag{1.3}$$

(1.4)

$$E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j S_j^* + T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1}^*, \qquad k > 1$$

where $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = S_{\mu_1}^* \cdots S_{\mu_k}^* S_{\mu_k} \cdots S_{\mu_1}$, $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k) \in \Lambda_A^*$ the set of admissible words of the topological Markov shift Λ_A defined by the matrix A.

If all entries of A is 1, the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ becomes $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ so that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ goes to the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$. If A is the Fibonacci matrix $F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}$ is simple and purely infinite. Its K-groups are $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z})^\infty$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}) \cong 0$ where $C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z})^\infty$ denotes the countable infinite direct sum of the group $C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ (cf.[Ma7]). In general, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ associated with a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ has infinite family of generators. The C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}$ are finitely generated, and its K_0-groups however are not finitely generated. Therefore the algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}$ are not semiprojective whereas Cuntz algebras and Cuntz-Krieger algebras are semiprojective (cf. [Bla], [Bla2], [Ma3]).

The author would like to express his sincerely thanks to Wolfgang Krieger whose suggestions and discussions made it possible to present this paper.

2. The topological Markov Dyck shifts

Throughout this paper N is a fixed positive integer larger than 1.

We consider the Dyck shift D_N with alphabet $\Sigma = \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+$ where $\Sigma^- = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_N\}, \Sigma^+ = \{\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_N\}$. The symbols α_i, β_i correspond to the brackets $(_i,)_i$ respectively, and has the relations

$$\alpha_i \beta_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ (cf. [Kr2],[Kr3]). A word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ of Σ is defined to be admissible for D_N precisely if $\prod_{m=1}^{n} \gamma_m \neq 0$, where $\prod_{m=1}^{n} \gamma_m$ means the products $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ obtained by applying (2.1).

Let $A = [A(i,j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$. Throughout this paper, A is assumed to have no zero rows or columns. Consider the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ for the matrix A that is the universal C^*-algebra generated by

N partial isometries $t_1, \dots, t_N$ subject to the following relations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j t_j^* = 1, \qquad t_i^* t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) t_j t_j^* \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N \tag{2.2}$$

([CK]). Define a correspondence $\varphi_A : \Sigma \longrightarrow \{t_1^*, \dots, t_N^*, t_1, \dots, t_N\}$ by setting

$$\varphi_A(\alpha_i) = t_i^*, \qquad \varphi_A(\beta_i) = t_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We denote by Σ^* the set of all words $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ of elements of Σ. Define the set

$$\mathfrak{F}_A = \{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \in \Sigma^* \mid \varphi_A(\gamma_1) \cdots \varphi_A(\gamma_n) = 0\}.$$

Let D_A be the subshift over Σ whose forbidden words are $\mathfrak{F}_A$. The subshift is called the topological Markov Dyck shift defined by A. These kinds of subshifts have first appeared in [HIK] in semigroup setting and in [KM2] in more general setting without using C^*-algebras. If all entries of A are 1, the partial isometries $\varphi_A(\alpha_1), \dots, \varphi_A(\alpha_N), \varphi_A(\beta_1), \dots, \varphi_A(\beta_N)$ satisfy the same relations as (2.1) so that the subshift D_A becomes the Dyck shift D_N with $2N$ bracket. We note the fact that $\alpha_i \beta_j \in \mathfrak{F}_A$ if $i \neq j$, and $\alpha_{i_n} \cdots \alpha_{i_1} \in \mathfrak{F}_A$ if and only if $\beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_n} \in \mathfrak{F}_A$. Consider the following two subsystems of D_A

$$D_A^+ = \{(\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in D_A \mid \gamma_i \in \Sigma^+ \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$
$$D_A^- = \{(\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in D_A \mid \gamma_i \in \Sigma^- \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The subshift D_A^+ is identified with the topological Markov shift

$$\Lambda_A = \{(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

defined by the matrix A and similarly D_A^- is identified with the topological Markov shift Λ_{A^t} defined by the transposed matrix A^t of A. Hence the subshift D_A is recognized to contain both the topological Markov shifts Λ_A and Λ_{A^t}.

Proposition 2.1. *If A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz-Krieger [CK], the subshift D_A is not sofic.*

Proof. Put $X_{D_A^+} = \{(\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mid (\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in D_A^+\}$ and $X_{\Lambda_A} = \{(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mid (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_A\}$. Since A satisfies condition (I), we can for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ find an element $x(n) = (\beta_{n(i)})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_{D_A^+}$, where $(n(i))_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda_A}$, so that $x(n) \neq x(k)$ for $n \neq k$. Let $\Gamma^-(x(n))$ be the predecessor set of $x(n)$ in D_A, that is,

$$\Gamma^-(x(n)) = \{(\dots, y_{-2}, y_{-1}, y_0) \mid (\dots, y_{-2}, y_{-1}, y_0, \beta_{n(1)}, \beta_{n(2)}, \dots) \in D_A\}.$$

Let for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha_{n(i)} \in \Sigma^-$ so that $\alpha_{n(i)}\beta_{n(i)} = \mathbf{1}$. Then $(\cdots, \alpha_{n(2)}, \alpha_{n(1)}) \in \Gamma^-(x(k))$ if and only if $k = n$. Thus the predecessor sets $\Gamma^-(x(n)), n = 1, 2, \dots$ are mutually distinct, so D_A is not sofic. $\square$

Hence most irreducible matrix A yield non Markov subshifts D_A.

A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to present a subshift Λ if the set of all admissible words of Λ coincides with the set of all finite labeled sequences appearing in concatenating edges of $\mathfrak{L}$. There are many λ-graph systems that present a given subshift. Among them the canonical λ-graph system is a generalization of the left-Krieger cover graph for a sofic shift, and which strong shift equivalence class is invariant under topological conjugacy of subshifts ([Ma]). The canonical λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{C(D_N)}$ for the Dyck shifts D_N together with its K-groups have been calculated in [Ma4]. One however sees that the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{C(D_N)}$ are not irreducible, so that the resulting C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{C(D_N)}}$ are not simple. The Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda)}$ for a Cantor horizon subshift Λ is an irreducible component of the canonical λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{C(\Lambda)}$. The Cantor horizon λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ for the Dyck shifts D_N together with its K-groups have been calculated in [KM] (cf. [Ma6]). The associated C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$ are simple purely infinite such that

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z}), \qquad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}) \cong 0.$$

In this paper we will study the Cantor horizon λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ for the topological Markov Dyck shifts D_A and its associated C^*-algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ for $N \times N$ matrices A with entries in $\{0, 1\}$.

We denote by $B_l(D_A)$ and $B_l(\Lambda_A)$ the set of admissible words of length l of D_A and that of Λ_A respectively. Let $m(l)$ be the cardinal number of $B_l(\Lambda_A)$. We use lexcographic order from the left on the words of $B_l(\Lambda_A)$, so that we may assign to a word $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$ the number $N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)$ from 1 to $m(l)$. For example, if $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &B_1(\Lambda_A) = \{1, 2\}, \qquad N(1) = 1,\, N(2) = 2, \\ &B_2(\Lambda_A) = \{11, 12, 21\}, \qquad N(11) = 1,\, N(12) = 2,\, N(21) = 3, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Hence the set $B_l(\Lambda_A)$ bijectively corresponds to the set of natural numbers less than or equal to $m(l)$. Let us now describe the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ of D_A. The vertices V_l at level l for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are given by the admissible words of length l consisting of the symbols of Σ^+. We regard V_0 as a one point set of the empty word $\{\emptyset\}$. Since V_l is identified with $B_l(\Lambda_A)$, we may write V_l as

$$V_l = \{v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)} \mid \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)\}.$$

The mapping $\iota(= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ is defined by deleting the rightmost symbol of a corresponding word such as

$$\iota(v^{l+1}_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{l+1})}) = v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)} \quad \text{for} \quad v^{l+1}_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{l+1})} \in V_{l+1}.$$

We define an edge labeled α_j from $v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)} \in V_l$ to $v^{l+1}_{N(\mu_0 \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)} \in V_{l+1}$ precisely if $\mu_0 = j$, and an edge labeled β_j from $v^l_{N(j \mu_1 \cdots \mu_{l-1})} \in V_l$ to $v^{l+1}_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{l+1})} \in V_{l+1}$. For $l = 0$, we define an edge labeled α_j form v^0_1 to $v^1_{N(j)}$, and an edge labeled β_j form v^0_1 to $v^1_{N(i)}$ if $A(j, i) = 1$. We denote by $E_{l,l+1}$ the set of edges from V_l to V_{l+1}. Set $E = \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_{l,l+1}$. It is easy to see that the resulting labeled Bratteli diagram with ι-map becomes a λ-graph system over Σ, that is denoted by $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$.

In the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$, we consider two λ-graph subsystems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{W(\Lambda_A)}$. Both of the λ-graph subsystems have the same vertex sets as $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ together with the same ι-maps as $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$. The edge set of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ consist of edges labeled by Σ^+ in the edges of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$, whereas that of $\mathfrak{L}^{W(\Lambda_A)}$ consist of edges labeled by Σ^-. Hence $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ becomes a λ-graph system over Σ^+ and $\mathfrak{L}^{W(\Lambda_A)}$ becomes a λ-graph system over Σ^-. The latter λ-graph system is called the word λ-graph system in [KM2]. Since the union of the edge sets of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{W(\Lambda_A)}$ coincides with the edge set of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$, we may write $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ as

$$\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)} = \mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)} \sqcup \mathfrak{L}^{W(\Lambda_A)}.$$

We will prove that the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ presents the subshift D_A. We denote by D_A^* and Λ_A^* the set of admissible words of the subshifts D_A and Λ_A respectively.

Lemma 2.2. *For $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in D_A^*$ and $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in \Lambda_A^*$, if the word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A, so is the word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \alpha_{\mu_1} \beta_{\mu_1} \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$.*

Proof. As the word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A, one has

$$\varphi_A(\gamma_1) \cdots \varphi_A(\gamma_k) t_{\mu_2} \cdots t_{\mu_l} t_{\mu_l}^* \cdots t_{\mu_2}^* \neq 0.$$

By the condition $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in \Lambda_A^*$ with the relations (2.2), one sees

$$t_{\mu_1}^* t_{\mu_1} t_{\mu_2} \cdots t_{\mu_l} t_{\mu_l}^* \cdots t_{\mu_2}^* = t_{\mu_2} \cdots t_{\mu_l} t_{\mu_l}^* \cdots t_{\mu_2}^*$$

so that

$$\varphi_A(\gamma_1) \cdots \varphi_A(\gamma_k) t_{\mu_1}^* t_{\mu_1} t_{\mu_2} \cdots t_{\mu_l} t_{\mu_l}^* \cdots t_{\mu_2}^* \neq 0$$

and hence the word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \alpha_{\mu_1} \beta_{\mu_1} \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A. $\square$

For $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$ and $k \leq l$ we set

$$\Gamma_{D_A}^k(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}) = \{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Sigma^* \mid \gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \beta_{\mu_1} \ldots \beta_{\mu_l} \in D_A^*\}$$

the k-predecessor set of the word $\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ in D_A and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Gamma_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}^k(v_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l) \\
= &\{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Sigma^* \mid \text{there exist } e_i \in E, i = 1, \ldots, k \text{ such that } \gamma_i = \lambda(e_i) \\
&\quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, k,\ t(e_i) = s(e_{i+1}) \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k-1 \text{ and } t(e_k) = v_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l\}.
\end{aligned}$$

the k-predecessor set of the vertex $v_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l$ in $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$.

Lemma 2.3. $\Gamma_{D_A}^k(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}) = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}^k(v_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l)$.

Proof. We will prove the desired equality by induction on the length k.

(1) Assume that k is 1.

Take $\mu_0 \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. The symbol α_{μ_0} belongs to $\Gamma_{D_A}^1(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l})$ if and only if $\mu_0 = \mu_1$. The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that $\alpha_{\mu_0} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}^1(v_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l)$.

The symbol β_{μ_0} belongs to $\Gamma_{D_A}^1(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l})$ if and only if $A(\mu_0, \mu_1) = 1$. The latter condition is equivalent to the condition that $\beta_{\mu_0} \in \Gamma_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}^1(v_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l)$.

(2) Assume next that the desired equality holds for a fixed k with $k + 1 \leq l$. Take a word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \in \Sigma^*$. We have two cases.

Case 1: $\gamma_{k+1} = \alpha_{\mu_0}$ for some $\mu_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Assume that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{k+1}_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l})$ and hence $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \alpha_{\mu_0} \beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A. One then sees that $\mu_0 = \mu_1$. Since $t^*_{\mu_0} t_{\mu_0}$ is a projection in the algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$, the word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A. Hence

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}).$$

By the hypothesis of induction, one has

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l)}).$$

Since $\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_l$ is admissible in Λ_A, there exists an edge $e \in E_{l-1,l}$ in $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ such that $\lambda(e) = \alpha_{\mu_1}$ and $s(e) = v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l)}, t(e) = v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}$. Hence we know that

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \in \Gamma^{k+1}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}).$$

Conversely assume that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{k+1}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)})$. Since $\gamma_{k+1} = \alpha_{\mu_0}$, one has that $\mu_0 = \mu_1$. Hence

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l)}).$$

By the hypothesis of induction, the word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A. Since $\mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_l$ is admissible in Λ_A, by the preceding lemma, $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \alpha_{\mu_1} \beta_{\mu_1} \beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}$ is admissible in D_A so that

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \in \Gamma^{k+1}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}).$$

Case 2: $\gamma_{k+1} = \beta_{\mu_0}$ for some $\mu_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Assume that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{k+1}_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l})$. Then

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_0} \beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l-2}}).$$

By the hypothesis of induction, we have

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{l-2})}).$$

Since $\mu_0 \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l$ is admissible in Λ_A, there exists an edge $e \in E_{l-1,l}$ in $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ such that $\lambda(e) = \beta_{\mu_0}$ and $s(e) = v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{l-2})}, t(e) = v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}$. Hence we know

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \in \Gamma^{k+1}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}).$$

Conversely assume that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1}$ belongs to $\Gamma^{k+1}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)})$. Hence

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{l-2})}).$$

As $\Gamma^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^{l-1}_{N(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_{l-2})}) = \Gamma^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(v^{l+1}_{N(\mu_0 \cdots \mu_l)})$, one has by the hypothesis of induction

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_0} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}).$$

Hence we have

$$\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{k+1} \in \Gamma^{k+1}_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}).$$

Therefore the desired equality holds for all k with $k \le l$. $\square$

Proposition 2.4. *The λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ presents the subshift D_A.*

Proof. Put $X_{\Lambda_A} = \{(\mu_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mid (\mu_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_A\}$ and $X_{D_A} = \{(\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mid (\gamma_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in D_A\}$. We faithfully represent the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ whose complete orthonormal basis are given by the vectors

$$e_{\mu_1} \otimes e_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots \qquad \text{for} \qquad (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \in X_{\Lambda_A}$$

by using the creation operators t_i, $i = 1, \dots, N$ on $\mathfrak{H}$ defined by

$$t_i(e_{\mu_1} \otimes e_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots) = \begin{cases} e_i \otimes e_{\mu_1} \otimes e_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots & \text{if } A(i, \mu_1) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We may identify $\varphi_A(\alpha_i)$ and $\varphi_A(\beta_i)$ with the operators t_i^* and t_i on $\mathfrak{H}$ respectively. For a word $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Sigma^*$, it folllows that $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k$ is admissible in D_A if and only if there exists a sequence $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \in X_{\Lambda_A}$ such that $\varphi_A(\gamma_1) \cdots \varphi_A(\gamma_k) e_{\mu_1} \otimes e_{\mu_2} \otimes \cdots$ is a nonzero vector. The latter condition is equivalent to the condition $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k, \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots) \in X_{D_A}$. This is equivalent to the condition $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_k \in \Gamma^k_{D_A}(\beta_{\mu_1}\beta_{\mu_2} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l})$ for all $l \geq k$. Therefore by the preceding lemma, the subshift $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ presented by the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ is D_A. □

Hence we automatically know that the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{W(\Lambda_A)}$ present the subshifts D_A^+ and D_A^- respectively.

A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies λ-condition (I) if for every vertex $v \in V_l$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ there exist at least two paths with distinct label sequences starting with the vertex v and terminating with the same vertex.

$\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be λ-irreducible if for an ordered pair of vertices $u, v \in V_l$, there exists a number $L_l(u, v) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for a vertex $w \in V_{l+L_l(u,v)}$ with $\iota^{L_l(u,v)}(w) = u$, there exists a path ξ in $\mathfrak{L}$ such that $s(\xi) = v$, $t(\xi) = w$, where $\iota^{L_l(u,v)}$ means the $L_l(u, v)$-times compositions of ι, and $s(\xi), t(\xi)$ denote the source vertex, the terminal vertex of ξ respectively ([Ma5]).

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$.*

(i) *If A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz-Krieger [CK], the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ satisfies λ-condition (I).*

(ii) *If A is irreducible, the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ is λ-irreducible.*

Hence if A is an irreducible matrix with condition (I), then both the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ are λ-irreducible with λ-condition (I).

Proof. (i) Suppose that A satisfies condition (I). In the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$, let v_i^l be a vertex in V_l. We write $i = N(i_1 \cdots i_l)$ for $i_1 \cdots i_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$. By condition (I) for A, there exist $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_r$, $\nu = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_r \in B_r(\Lambda_A)$ such that $\mu \neq \nu, \mu_1 = \nu_1 = i_l$ and $\mu_r = \nu_r$. Take $\eta_{r+1} \cdots \eta_{2l+2r-1} \in B_{2l+r-1}(\Lambda_A)$ such that $\mu_r\eta_{r+1} \dots \eta_{2l+2r-1} \in B_{2l+r}(\Lambda_A)$. We put $\mu_n = \nu_n = \eta_n$ for $n = r+1, \dots, 2l + 2r - 1$. Put $L' = 2l + 2r - 1$. Let $v_j^{L'} \in V_{L'}$ be the vertex in $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ such that $j = N(\mu_r\mu_{r+1} \cdots \mu_{2l+2r-2}) (= N(\nu_r\nu_{r+1} \cdots \nu_{2l+2r-2}))$. Then there exist two paths labeled $\beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_l}\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{r-1}}$ and $\beta_{i_1} \cdots \beta_{i_l}\beta_{\nu_1} \cdots \beta_{\nu_{r-1}}$ whose sources are both v_i^l and terminals are both $v_j^{L'}$. Hence $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ satisfies λ-condition (I).

(ii) In the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$, let v_i^l, v_j^l be vertices in V_l. We write $i = N(i_1 \cdots i_l), j = N(j_1 \cdots j_l)$ for $i_1 \cdots i_l, j_1 \cdots j_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$ respectively. As Λ_A is irreducible, there exits a word $\eta_1 \cdots \eta_L \in B_L(\Lambda_A)$ such that $j_1 \cdots j_l\eta_1 \cdots \eta_L i_1 \cdots i_l \in$

$B_{2l+L}(\Lambda_A)$. We may assume $L \ge l$. For $v_h^{2l+L} \in V_{2l+L}$ with $\iota^{l+L}(v_h^{2l+L}) = v_i^l, h = 1,\dots,m(2l+L)$ we have $h = N(i_1 \cdots i_l \mu_{l+1} \cdots \mu_{2l+L})$ for some $\mu_{l+1} \cdots \mu_{2l+L} \in B_{l+L}(\Lambda_A)$. Then there exists a path labeled $\beta_{j_1} \cdots \beta_{j_l} \beta_{\eta_1} \cdots \beta_{\eta_L}$ whose source is v_j^l and whose terminal is v_h^{2l+L}. This means that $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ is λ-irreducible. □

3. THE C^*-ALGEBRA $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$

This section is devoted to studying operator relations among generators of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ to prove Theorem 1.1. A general structure for the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ associated with a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ has been studied in [Ma2] as in the following way:

Lemma 3.1([Ma2;Theorem A and B], cf. [Ma5]). *Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ. Suppose that a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies λ-condition (I). Then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is the unique C^*-algebra generated by nonzero partial isometries $s_\gamma, \gamma \in \Sigma$ and nonzero projections $e_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l),\ l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfying the following operator relations:*

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma} s_\gamma s_\gamma^* = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m(l)} e_j^l = 1, \qquad e_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i,j) e_j^{l+1}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$s_\gamma s_\gamma^* e_i^l = e_i^l s_\gamma s_\gamma^*, \tag{3.3}$$

$$s_\gamma^* e_i^l s_\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i,\gamma,j) e_j^{l+1}, \tag{3.4}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \gamma \in \Sigma$, where $V_l = \{v_1^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$ and

$$A_{l,l+1}(i,\gamma,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s(e) = v_i^l, \lambda(e) = \gamma, t(e) = v_j^{l+1} \text{ for some } e \in E_{l,l+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \iota_{l,l+1}(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l),\ j = 1, 2, \dots, m(l+1),\ \gamma \in \Sigma$. If in particular $\mathfrak{L}$ is λ-irreducible, the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is simple and purely infinite.

We first consider the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}}$ for the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that A satisfies condition (I). The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$ for the matrix A.*

Proof. We notice that both the algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_A$ are uniquely determined by certain opertor relations of their canonical generators. We write the canonical generating partial isometries and the projections in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}}$ as $s_{\beta_i}, i = 1, \dots, N$ and $e_{N(i_1 \cdots i_l)}^l, i_1 \cdots i_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ respectively. By the relations (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), one has

$$e_{N(i_1 \cdots i_l)}^l = \sum_{i_{l+1}, i_{l+2}=1}^{N} s_{\beta_{i_1}} e_{N(i_2 \cdots i_{l+1} i_{l+2})}^{l+1} s_{\beta_{i_1}}^*.$$

For $l = 1$, one sees that by (3.2)

$$e^1_{N(i_1)} = \sum_{i_2,i_3=1}^{N} s_{\beta_{i_1}} e^2_{N(i_2 i_3)} s^*_{\beta_{i_1}} = s_{\beta_{i_1}} s^*_{\beta_{i_1}}.$$

As $\iota^2(v^{l+1}_{N(i_2\cdots i_{l+1}i_{l+2})}) = v^{l-1}_{N(i_2\cdots i_l)}$, (3.2) implies the equality

$$\sum_{i_{l+1},i_{l+2}=1}^{N} e^{l+1}_{N(i_2\cdots i_{l+1}i_{l+2})} = e^{l-1}_{N(i_2\cdots i_l)}$$

so that by induction one obtains

$$e^l_{N(i_1\cdots i_l)} = s_{\beta_{i_1}} \cdots s_{\beta_{i_l}} s^*_{\beta_{i_l}} \cdots s^*_{\beta_{i_1}}.$$

One also sees that (3.4) implies the equality

$$s^*_{\beta_i} s_{\beta_i} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) s_{\beta_j} s^*_{\beta_j}.$$

As the equality $\sum_{i=1}^N s_{\beta_i} s^*_{\beta_i} = 1$ holds, the C^*-algebra generated by partial isometries $s_{\beta_i}, i = 1, \dots, N$ is canonically isomorphic to the Cuntz-Krieger algebra $\mathcal{O}_A$. $\square$

In what follows, an $N \times N$ matrix A is assumed to be irreducible with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, and satisfy condition (I). By Proposition 2.5, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ is simple and purely infinite. We will describe concrete operator relations among the canonical generators of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$. Let $A_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$ be the matrices as in Lemma 3.1 for the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$. We denote by $m(l)$ the number of the vertex set $V_l = \{v^l_1, \dots, v^l_{m(l)}\}$ of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$. Let $s_\gamma, \gamma \in \Sigma$ and $e^l_i, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the canonical generating partial isometries and projections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$. They satisfy the relations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) for $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$. Define the operators $S_i, , T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ by setting

$$S_i := s_{\alpha_i}, \quad T_i := s_{\beta_i} \qquad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Proposition 3.3. *The operators $S_i, T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ satisfy the relations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4), and generate the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$.*

Proof. The equality (1.1) is nothing but (3.1). To prove (1.2), by the equality (3.4) and the first equality of (3.2), one has for a fixed $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j^* S_j = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} \sum_{k=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha_j, k) e^{l+1}_k.$$

For $k = 1, \dots, m(l+1)$, there exists a unique edge labeled Σ^- in $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ whose terminal is v^{l+1}_k. Hence we have $\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha_j, k) = 1$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j^* S_j = \sum_{k=1}^{m(l+1)} e^{l+1}_k = 1.$$

For (1.3), one similarly has

$$T_i^* T_i = \sum_{k=1}^{m(l)} s_{\beta_i}^* e_k^l s_{\beta_i} = \sum_{h=1}^{m(l+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{m(l)} A_{l,l+1}(k, \beta_i, h) e_h^{l+1}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) S_j^* S_j = \sum_{h=1}^{m(l+1)} (\sum_{k=1}^{m(l)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) A_{l,l+1}(k, \alpha_j, h)) e_h^{l+1}.$$

Let h be written as $N(h_1 \cdots h_{l+1})$. Then the condition $A_{l,l+1}(k, \beta_i, h) = 1$ is equivalent to the condition that $ih_1 \in B_2(\Lambda_A)$ and $k = N(ih_1 \cdots h_{l-1})$. On the other hand, the condition $\sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) A_{l,l+1}(k, \alpha_j, h) = 1$ is equivalent to the condition that $j = h_1, A(i,j) = 1$ for some j and $k = N(h_2 \cdots h_{l+1})$. Hence one has

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m(l)} A_{l,l+1}(k, \beta_i, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{m(l)} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i,j) A_{l,l+1}(k, \alpha_j, h).$$

This implies the equality (1.3). For (1.4), we put

$$E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = S_{\mu_1}^* \cdots S_{\mu_k}^* S_{\mu_k} \cdots S_{\mu_1}.$$

By using the first equality of (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) recursively, one knows that the above defined projections commute with $S_j S_j^*$ and $T_j T_j^*$ for $j = 1, \dots, N$. Hence by (1.1), it follows that

$$E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j S_j^* + \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_j T_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} T_j T_j^*.$$

As $S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j = A(j, \mu_1) S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j$ and $S_{\mu_1} T_j = s_{\alpha_{\mu_1}} s_{\beta_j} = 0$ if $\mu_1 \neq j$, one has

$$E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j S_j^* + T_{\mu_1} T_{\mu_1}^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1} T_{\mu_1}^*.$$

Since $A_{0,1}(1, \alpha_{\mu_1}, j) = 1$ if and only if $j = \mu_1$, it follows that by (3.4),

$$E_{\mu_1} = s_{\alpha_{\mu_1}}^* s_{\alpha_{\mu_1}} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(1)} A_{0,1}(1, \alpha_{\mu_1}, j) e_j^1 = e_{\mu_1}^1.$$

By (3.2) and (3.4), we similarly have

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} &= s_{\alpha_{\mu_1}}^* \cdots s_{\alpha_{\mu_k}}^* s_{\alpha_{\mu_k}} \cdots s_{\alpha_{\mu_1}} \\ &= \sum_{i_1=1}^{m(1)} \cdots \sum_{i_k=1}^{m(k)} A_{0,1}(1, \alpha_{\mu_k}, i_1) \cdots A_{k-1,k}(i_{k-1}, \alpha_{\mu_1}, i_k) e_{i_k}^k. \end{aligned}$$

As $\sum_{i_1=1}^{m(1)} \cdots \sum_{i_{k-1}=1}^{m(k-1)} A_{0,1}(1, \alpha_{\mu_k}, i_1) \cdots A_{k-1,k}(i_{k-1}, \alpha_{\mu_1}, i_k) = 1$ if and only if $i_k = N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k)$, one knows $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = e^k_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k)}$. Hence we have

$$T^*_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(k+1)} A_{k,k+1}(N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k), \beta_{\mu_1}, j) e^{k+1}_j.$$

Since $A_{k,k+1}(N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k), \beta_{\mu_1}, j) = 1$ if and only if $j = N(\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k \mu_{k+1} \mu_{k+2})$ for some $\mu_{k+1}, \mu_{k+2} = 1, \dots, N$, and the equality

$$\sum_{\mu_{k+1}, \mu_{k+2} = 1, \dots, N} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k \mu_{k+1} \mu_{k+2}} = E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}$$

holds, we have $T^*_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1} = E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}$ so that

$$T_{\mu_1} T^*_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1} T^*_{\mu_1} = T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k} T^*_{\mu_1}.$$

Thus we conclude that (1.4) holds. Consequently the operators $S_i, T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ satisfy the relations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

In the above discussions, we have proved the equality

$$e^k_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k)} = E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} (= S^*_{\mu_1} \cdots S^*_{\mu_k} S_{\mu_k} \cdots S_{\mu_1})$$

for $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\Lambda_A)$. Hence the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ is generated by $S_1, \dots, S_N$, $T_1, \dots, T_N$. $\square$

We next show that the relations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) imply the relations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4). Let $S_i, T_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ be partial isometries satisfying the operator relations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). In the relation (1.4) for $k = 2$, by summing up μ_2 over $\{1, \dots, N\}$ and using (1.2), we have

$$S^*_i S_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j,i) S_j S^*_j S^*_i S_i S_j S^*_j + T_i T^*_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N. \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.4.

(i) $T^*_i S^*_j S_j T_i = \begin{cases} T^*_i T_i & \textit{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \textit{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$

(ii) $T^*_i E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} T_i = \begin{cases} A(i, \mu_2) E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} & \textit{if } i = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \textit{if } i \neq \mu_1 \end{cases}$

for $l > 1$, *where* $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} = S^*_{\mu_1} \cdots S^*_{\mu_l} S_{\mu_l} \cdots S_{\mu_1}$ *for* $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$.

Proof. (i) By (3.5), we have

$$T^*_i S^*_i S_i T_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j,i) T^*_i S_j S^*_j S^*_i S_i S_j S^*_j T_i + T^*_i T_i T^*_i T_i.$$

The equality (1.1) implies $T^*_i S_j = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ and hence we have $T^*_i S^*_i S_i T_i = T^*_i T_i$. By (1.2), one has

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} T^*_i S^*_j S_j T_i = T^*_i T_i$$

so that $T_i^* S_j^* S_j T_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

(ii) By (1.4), we have

$$T_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} T_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) T_i^* S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} S_j S_j^* T_i + T_i^* T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_{\mu_1}^* T_i$$

for $l > 1$. Since $T_i^* S_j = 0$ for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ and $T_i^* T_{\mu_1} = 0$ for $i \neq \mu_1$, we have

$$T_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} T_i = T_i^* T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_{\mu_1}^* T_i = \begin{cases} T_i^* T_i E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_i^* T_i & \text{if } i = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By (1.3) one has

$$T_i^* T_i E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_i^* T_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} A(i, j) A(i, k) S_j^* S_j S_{\mu_2}^* \cdots S_{\mu_l}^* S_{\mu_l} \cdots S_{\mu_2} S_k^* S_k.$$

By (1.2), one sees that $S_j^* S_j S_{\mu_2}^* = 0$ for $j \neq \mu_2$, and $S_{\mu_2}^* S_{\mu_2} S_k^* S_k = 0$ for $k \neq \mu_2$. It then follows that

$$T_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} T_i = A(i, \mu_2) E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l}.$$

□

Lemma 3.5. *Keep the above notations. The projection* $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}$ *commutes with both* $S_j S_j^*$ *and* $T_j T_j^*$

Proof. By (1.4), we have for $l > 1$

$$S_i S_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) S_i S_i^* S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} S_j S_j^* + S_i S_i^* T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_{\mu_1}^*.$$

By (1.1), one has $S_i^* T_{\mu_1} = 0$ for all i, μ_1, and by (1.2) one has $S_i^* S_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$. Hence we have $S_i S_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} = A(i, \mu_1) S_i S_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} S_i S_i^*$ and similarly $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} S_i S_i^* = A(i, \mu_1) S_i S_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} S_i S_i^*$ so that $S_i S_i^*$ commutes with $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}$. By (1.4) and (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_i T_i^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) T_i T_i^* S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} S_j S_j^* + T_i T_i^* T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_{\mu_1}^* \\ &= \begin{cases} T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_l} T_{\mu_1}^* & \text{if } i = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We similarly have the same equality for $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} T_i T_i^*$ as above so that $T_i T_i^*$ commutes with $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}$.

For $l = 1$, as we have $E_{\mu_1} = \sum_{\mu_2=1}^{N} E_{\mu_1 \mu_2}$ by (1.2), the projection E_{μ_1} commutes with both $S_j S_j^*$ and $T_j T_j^*$ by the above discussions. □

Lemma 3.6. *Keep the above notations. For* $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ *we have* $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} = 0$ *if* $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \notin B_l(\Lambda_A)$.

Proof. As we are assuming that the matrix A has no zero rows or columns, for $l = 1$, $B_1(\Lambda_A) = \{1, \dots, N\}$. By (3.5) one has for $\mu_1 = 1, \dots, N$

$$S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} S_j S_j^* + T_{\mu_1} T_{\mu_1}^*$$

so that for $\mu_0 = 1, \dots, N$

$$S_{\mu_0}^* S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_0} = A(\mu_0, \mu_1) S_{\mu_0}^* S_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_1} S_{\mu_0}$$

because $S_{\mu_0}^* S_j = 0$ if $\mu_0 \neq j$, and $S_{\mu_0}^* T_{\mu_1} = 0$ by (1.1). This means that $E_{\mu_0 \mu_1} = 0$ if $\mu_0 \mu_1 \notin B_2(\Lambda_A)$.

Suppose next that the assertion holds for $l = k > 1$. By (1.4) one has for $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\Lambda_A)$ and $\mu_0 = 1, \dots, N$

$$S_{\mu_0}^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_{\mu_0} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(j, \mu_1) S_{\mu_0}^* S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j S_j^* S_{\mu_0} + S_{\mu_0}^* T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1}^* S_{\mu_0}$$

so that we have

$$E_{\mu_0 \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = A(\mu_0, \mu_1) E_{\mu_0 \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k}.$$

For $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\Lambda_A)$, we have $\mu_0 \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \notin B_{k+1}(\Lambda_A)$ if and only if $A(\mu_0, \mu_1) = 0$. Hence the assertion holds for $l = k + 1$. Therefore the assertion holds for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. □

Proposition 3.7. *Keep the above notations. Put*

$$s_{\alpha_i} := S_i, \quad s_{\beta_i} := T_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e_1^0 &:= 1, \\ e_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l &:= E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} (= S_{\mu_1}^* \cdots S_{\mu_l}^* S_{\mu_l} \cdots S_{\mu_1}) \qquad \text{for } \mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A). \end{aligned}$$

Then the family of operators $s_\gamma, \gamma \in \Sigma$, $e_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l, \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$ *satisfies the operator relations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) for the* λ*-graph system* $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$.

Proof. The relation (3.1) is nothing but the equality (1.1). The equality (1.2) implies $\sum_{\mu_1 \in B_1(\Lambda_A)} e_{N(\mu_1)}^1 = 1$. Suppose that $\sum_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)} e_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l = 1$ holds for $l = k$. As

$$S_{\mu_1}^* \cdots S_{\mu_k}^* S_{\mu_k} \cdots S_{\mu_1} = \sum_{h=1}^{N} S_{\mu_1}^* \cdots S_{\mu_k}^* S_h^* S_h S_{\mu_k} \cdots S_{\mu_1},$$

the equality $\sum_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)} e_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}^l = 1$ holds for $l = k + 1$ by Lemma 3.6 and hence for all l. The above equality with the equality

$$I_{l,l+1}(N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l), N(\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{l+1})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu_1 \cdots \nu_l = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{l+1} \in B_{l+1}(\Lambda_A)$ implies the second relation of (3.2) by using Lemma 3.6. The equality (3.3) comes from Lemma 3.5.

We will finally show the equality (3.4).

For $l = 0$, $e^0_1 = 1$ by definition. If $\gamma = \alpha_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, N$, one has $A_{0,1}(1, \alpha_k, j) = 1$ if and only if $j = k$. Hence

$$s^*_{\alpha_k} e^0_1 s_{\alpha_k} = s^*_{\alpha_k} s_{\alpha_k} = e^1_k = \sum_{j=1}^{m(1)} A_{0,1}(1, \alpha_k, j) e^1_j.$$

If $\gamma = \beta_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, N$, one has $A_{0,1}(1, \beta_k, j) = A(k, j)$. Hence by the relation (1.3) one has

$$s^*_{\beta_k} e^0_1 s_{\beta_k} = T^*_k T_k = e^1_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{0,1}(k, j) S^*_j S_j = \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{0,1}(1, \beta_k, j) e^1_j.$$

For $l = 1$, one sees that $e^1_i = e^1_{N(i)}$. If $\gamma = \alpha_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, N$, one has

$$s^*_{\alpha_k} e^1_i s_{\alpha_k} = S^*_k S^*_i S_i S_k = e^2_{N(ki)} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(2)} A_{1,2}(i, \alpha_k, j) e^2_j,$$

where the last equality above comes from the fact that $A_{1,2}(i, \alpha_k, j) = 1$ if and only if $j = N(ki)$. If $\gamma = \beta_k$ for some $k = 1, \dots, N$, one has by Lemma 3.4 (i) and (1.3)

$$s^*_{\beta_k} e^1_i s_{\beta_k} = T^*_k S^*_i S_i T_k = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i, j) S^*_j S_j & \text{if } k = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq i. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.6 and (1.2), one has

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A(i, j) S^*_j S_j = \sum_{\mu_1 \mu_2 \in B_2(\Lambda_A)} A(i, \mu_1) A(\mu_1, \mu_2) S^*_{\mu_1} S^*_{\mu_2} S_{\mu_2} S_{\mu_1}.$$

Since $A_{1,2}(i, \beta_k, \mu_1 \mu_2) = 1$ if and only if $k = i$, $A(i, \mu_1) = A(\mu_1, \mu_2) = 1$, it follows that by $S^*_{\mu_1} S^*_{\mu_2} S_{\mu_2} S_{\mu_1} = e^2_{N(\mu_1 \mu_2)}$,

$$s^*_{\beta_k} e^1_i s_{\beta_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{m(2)} A_{1,2}(i, \beta_k, j) e^2_j.$$

For $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)$ with $l > 1$ and $\alpha_k \in \Sigma^-$, the relation (1.4) implies

$$\begin{aligned} s^*_{\alpha_k} e^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)} s_{\alpha_k} &= A(k, \mu_1) S^*_k S^*_{\mu_1} \cdots S^*_{\mu_l} S_{\mu_l} \cdots S_{\mu_1} S_k \\ &= A_{l,l+1}(N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l), \alpha_k, N(k \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)) e^{l+1}_{N(k \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{l,l+1}(N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l), \alpha_k, i) = 0$ if $i \neq N(k \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)$, one has

$$s^*_{\alpha_k} e^l_{N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l)} s_{\alpha_k} = \sum_{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{l+1} \in B_{l+1}(\Lambda_A)} A_{l,l+1}(N(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l), \alpha_k, N(\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{l+1})) e^{l+1}_{N(\nu_1 \cdots \nu_{l+1})}.$$

We also have by Lemma 3.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^*_{\beta_j} e^l_{N(\mu_1\cdots\mu_l)} s_{\beta_j} \\
& = T_j^* E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l} T_j \\
& = \begin{cases} A(j,\mu_2) E_{\mu_2\cdots\mu_l} & \text{if } j = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases} \sum_{\mu_{l+1},\mu_{l+2}\in B_2(\Lambda_A)} A(j,\mu_2) S^*_{\mu_2}\cdots S^*_{\mu_l} S^*_{\mu_{l+1}} S^*_{\mu_{l+2}} S_{\mu_{l+2}} S_{\mu_{l+1}} S_{\mu_l}\cdots S_{\mu_2} & \text{if } j = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{l,l+1}(N(\mu_1\cdots\mu_l), \beta_j, N(\nu_1\cdots\nu_{l+1})) \\
= & \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j=\mu_1,\ A(j,\mu_2)=1 \text{ and } \nu_i = \mu_{i+1} \text{ for } i=1,\dots,l-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
s^*_{\beta_j} e^l_{N(\mu_1\cdots\mu_l)} s_{\beta_j} = \sum_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{l+1}\in B_{l+1}(\Lambda_A)} A_{l,l+1}(N(\mu_1\cdots\mu_l),\beta_j,N(\nu_1\cdots\nu_{l+1})) e^{l+1}_{N(\nu_1\cdots\nu_{l+1})}.
$$

Therefore (3.4) holds $\square$

By a general theory of the C^*-algebras associated with λ-graph systems [Ma2], the algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ are nuclear. If A is irreducible with condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz-Krieger, the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ is λ-irreducible with condition (I) from Proposition 2.5 so that the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ is simple and purely infinite by [Ma5]. By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.7, the family of the operator relations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) is equivalent to the family of the operator relations (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4). Thus by Lemma 3.1 we conclude Theorem 1.1.

4. K-THEORY

In this section, we will present K-theory formulae of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ in terms of the topological Markov shift defined by the matrix A. Recall that the right one-sided topological Markov shift (X_{Λ_A},σ_A) is a continuous map σ_A on X_{Λ_A} where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\Lambda_A} &= \{(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in \{1,\dots,N\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid A(x_i,x_{i+1})=1, i\in\mathbb{N}\}, \\
\sigma_A((x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}) &= (x_{i+1})_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \qquad \text{for } (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda_A}.
\end{aligned}
$$

The space X_{Λ_A} is naturally identified with $X_{D_A^+}$ in the proof of Proposition 2.1. Let $C(X_{\Lambda_A},\mathbb{Z})$ be the abelian group of all $\mathbb{Z}$-valued continuous functions on X_{Λ_A}. Define endomorphisms σ_{Λ_A} and λ_{Λ_A} on $C(X_{\Lambda_A},\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$
\sigma_{\Lambda_A}(f)(x) = f(\sigma_{\Lambda_A}(x)), \qquad \lambda_{\Lambda_A}(f)(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N f(jx) \qquad \text{for } f \in C(X_{\Lambda_A},\mathbb{Z})
$$

and $x=(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}\in X_{\Lambda_A}$, where $jx = (j,x_1,x_2,\dots)\in X_{\Lambda_A}$. Let $S_i, T_i, i=1,\dots,N$ be the generating partial isometries of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ as in Theorem

1.1. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ generated by the projections $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} = S^*_{\mu_1} \cdots S^*_{\mu_l} S_{\mu_l} \cdots S_{\mu_1}$, $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in \Lambda^*_A$. Define two endomorphisms λ_{Σ^-} and λ_{Σ^+} on it by

$$\lambda_{\Sigma^-}(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} S_j^* a S_j, \qquad \lambda_{\Sigma^+}(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} T_j^* a T_j \qquad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$$

Let $C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{C})$ be the abelian C^*-algebra of all $\mathbb{C}$-valued continuous functions on X_{Λ_A}. We note that its K_0-group $K_0(C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{C}))$ is naturally identified with $C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\Phi : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}} \longrightarrow C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{C})$ be a map defined by*

$$\Phi(E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}) = \chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l} \qquad \text{for } \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in \Lambda^*_A$$

where $\chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}$ is the characteristic function for the word $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l$ on X_{Λ_A} defined by

$$\chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x_1, \dots, x_l) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

(i) *Φ gives rise to an isomorphism from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ onto $C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{C})$.*

(ii) *Both of the diagrams*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_{\Sigma^-_*}"'] & C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[d, "\sigma_{\Lambda_A}"'] \\
K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"] & C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z})
\end{tikzcd}
,\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"] \arrow[d, "\lambda_{\Sigma^+_*}"'] & C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z}) \arrow[d, "\lambda_{\Lambda_A}"'] \\
K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) \arrow[r, "\Phi_*"] & C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z})
\end{tikzcd}$$

are commutative, where Φ_* is the induced isomorphism from $K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})$ to $K_0(C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{C}))(= C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z}))$, and $\lambda_{\Sigma^-_*}$, $\lambda_{\Sigma^+_*}$ are induced endomorphisms on $K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})$ by λ_{Σ^-}, λ_{Σ^+} respectively.

Proof. (i) The assertion is straightforward.

(ii) The equality

$$\Phi(\lambda_{\Sigma^-}(E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l})) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi_{j \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}$$

is immediate. As

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_A}(\chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l})(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x_2, \dots, x_{l+1}) = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda_A}$, the equality

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_A}(\chi_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}) = \Phi(\lambda_{\Sigma^-}(E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_l}))$$

is clear. Hence the first diagram is commutative.

For the second diagram, as

$$T_j^* E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l} T_j = \begin{cases} A(j,\mu_2) E_{\mu_2\cdots\mu_l} & \text{if } j = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \ne \mu_1 \end{cases}$$

by Lemma 3.4, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(T_j^* E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l} T_j)(x) &= \begin{cases} A(j,\mu_2) \chi_{\mu_2\cdots\mu_l}(x) & \text{if } j = \mu_1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \ne \mu_1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\mu_1,\dots,\mu_l) = (j, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{l-1}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one sees for $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda_A}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\Lambda_A}(\chi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l})(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l}(jx) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\mu_1,\dots,\mu_l) = (j, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{l-1}) \text{ for some } j = 1,\dots,N \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

so that one obtains

$$\lambda_{\Lambda_A}(\chi_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l}) = \sum_{j=1}^N \Phi(T_j^* E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l} T_j) = \Phi(\lambda_{\Sigma^+}(E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_l})).$$

Hence the second diagram is commtative. □

Therefore we have

Theorem 4.2.

(i) $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) = C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z})/(\mathrm{id} - (\sigma_{\Lambda_A} + \lambda_{\Lambda_A}))C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z})$.

(ii) $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - (\sigma_{\Lambda_A} + \lambda_{\Lambda_A}))$ *in* $C(X_{\Lambda_A}, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. (i) By an argument of [Ma2;Theorem 5.5], one knows

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) = K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})/(\mathrm{id} - \lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}*})K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}).$$

where $\lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}*}$ is an endomorphism on $K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})$ induced by the map $\lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}} : \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$ defined by

$$\lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(a) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma^-\cup\Sigma^+} S_\gamma^* a S_\gamma \qquad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}.$$

As $\lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}(a) = \lambda_{\Sigma^-}(a) + \lambda_{\Sigma^+}(a)$, one sees the desired formula by the previous lemma.

(ii) Similarly by an argument of [Ma2;Theorem 5.5], one knows

$$K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{id} - \lambda_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}*}) \text{ in } K_0(\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})$$

so that one sees the desired formula by the previous lemma. □

We remark that the space X_{Λ_A} is homeomorphic to a a Cantor discontinuum $\mathfrak{K}$.

The formulae of Theorem 4.2 may be rewritten in terms of nonnegative matrix system $(M_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1})_{l\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$ for the λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ (cf.[Ma]), where $I_{l,l+1}$ is the matrix as in Lemma 3.1 for $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ and $M_{l,l+1}$ is the matrix defined by

$$M_{l,l+1}(i,j) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Sigma} A_{l,l+1}(i,\gamma,j) \quad \text{for } i = 1,\dots,m(l),\ j = 1,\dots,m(l+1)$$

for the matrix $A_{l,l+1}$ in Lemma 3.1 for $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$. Then the relations $I_{l,l+1}M_{l+1,l+2} = M_{l,l+1}I_{l+1,l+2}$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ hold. The groups $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})$ and $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}})$ are computed by the following formulae.

Proposition 4.3([Ma2], cf. [Ma]).

(i) $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) = \varinjlim_l \{\mathbb{Z}^{m(l+1)}/(M^t_{l,l+1} - I^t_{l,l+1})\mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}, \bar{I}^t_{l,l+1}\}$, *where the inductive limit is taken along the natural induced homomorphisms* $\bar{I}^t_{l,l+1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *by the matrices* $I^t_{l,l+1}$.

(ii) $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}) = \varinjlim_l \{\mathrm{Ker}(M^t_{l,l+1} - I^t_{l,l+1})$ *in* $\mathbb{Z}^{m(l)}, I^t_{l,l+1}\}$, *where the inductive limit is taken along the homomorphisms of the restrictions of* $I^t_{l,l+1}$ *to* $\mathrm{Ker}(M^t_{l,l+1} - I^t_{l,l+1})$.

5. EXAMPLES

Example 1 (Dyck shifts).

For the matrix A all of whose entries are 1, Theorem 1.1 goes to

Proposition 5.1 ([Ma6]). *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$ associated with the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ for the Dyck shift D_N is unital, separable, nuclear, simple and purely infinite. It is the unique C^*-algebra generated by N partial isometries $S_i, i = 1,\dots,N$ and N isometries $T_i, i = 1,\dots,N$ subject to the following operator relations:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^N S_j^*S_j = 1, \qquad E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k} = \sum_{j=1}^N S_jS_j^*E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k}S_jS_j^* + T_{\mu_1}E_{\mu_2\cdots\mu_k}T_{\mu_1}^*$$

where $E_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k} = S_{\mu_1}^*\cdots S_{\mu_k}^*S_{\mu_k}\cdots S_{\mu_1}$, $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_k \in \{1,\dots,N\}$. The K-groups are

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{K},\mathbb{Z}), \qquad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}) \cong 0.$$

Proof. The relation (1.3) implies that $T_i, i = 1,\dots,N$ are isometries. By summing up μ_2 over $\{1,\dots,N\}$ in the second relation above for $k = 2$, one has the equalities

$$S_i^*S_i = \sum_{j=1}^N S_jS_j^*S_i^*S_iS_jS_j^* + T_iT_i^*, \qquad i = 1,\dots,N$$

by using the first relation above. By summing up $i = 1,2,\dots,N$ in the above equalities, one sees the relation (1.1). □

Example 2 (Fibonacci Dyck shift).

Let F be the 2×2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It is the smallest matrix in the irreducible square matices with condition (I) such that the associated topological Markov shift Λ_F is not conjugate to any full shift. The topological entropy of Λ_F is $\log \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ the logarithm of the Perron eigenvalue of F. We call the subshift D_F the Fibonacci Dyck shift. As the matrix is irreducible with condition (I), the associated C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}$ is simple and purely infinite.

Proposition 5.2. *The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}$ associated with the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}$ is unital, separable, nuclear, simple and purely infinite. It is the unique C^*-algebra generated by one isometry T_1 and three partial isometries S_1, S_2, T_2 subject to the following operator relations:*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{2}(S_j S_j^* + T_j T_j^*) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} S_j^* S_j = 1, \qquad T_2^* T_2 = S_1^* S_1,$$

$$E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} F(j, \mu_1) S_j S_j^* E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} S_j S_j^* + T_{\mu_1} E_{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k} T_{\mu_1}^*, \qquad k > 1$$

where $E_{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k} = S_{\mu_1}^* \cdots S_{\mu_k}^* S_{\mu_k} \cdots S_{\mu_1}$, $(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_k) \in \Lambda_F^*$, and Λ_F^* is the set of admissible words of the topological Markov shift Λ_F defined by the matrix F. The K-groups are

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z})^\infty, \qquad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_F)}}) \cong 0.$$

Proof. The operator relations above directly come from Theorem 1.1. The K-group formulae above are not direct. Its computations need some tecnichal steps as in [Ma7]. The full proof of the above K-group formulae are written in [Ma7]. □

REFERENCES

[Bla] B. Blackadar, *Shape theory for C^*-algebras*, Math. Scand. **56** (1978), 249–275.

[Bla2] B. Blackadar, *Semiprojectivity in simple C^*-algebras*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **38** (2004), 1–17.

[ChS] N. Chomsky and M. P. Schützenberger, *The algebraic theory of context-free languages*, Computer programing and formal systems, North-Holland (1963), 118–161.

[C] J. Cuntz, *Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, Commun. Math. Phys. **57** (1977), 173-185.

[CK] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains*, Inventions Math. **56** (1980), 251–268.

[EK] E. G. Effros and J. Kaminker, *Homotopy continuity and shape theory for C^*-algebras*, In Geometric methods in operator algebras(Kyoto, 1983), Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow (1986), 152–180.

[HIK] T. Hamachi, K. Inoue and W. Krieger, *Subsystems of finite type and semigroup invariants of subshifts*, preprint.

[HU] J. E. Hopcroft and J. D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages, and Computation*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 2001.

[Ki] B.P. Kitchens, *Symbolic dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York, 1998.

[Kr] W. Krieger, *On the uniqueness of the equilibrium state*, Math. Systems Theory **8** (1974), 97–104.

[Kr2] W. Krieger, *On subshift presentations, preprint.*

[Kr3] W. Krieger, *On a class of algebraic subshifts, preprint.*

[KM] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *A lambda-graph system for the Dyck shift and its K-groups*, Doc. Math. **8** (2003), 79-96.

[KM2] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *A class of topological conjugacy of subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24** (2004), 1155-1172.

[LM] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[Ma] K. Matsumoto, *Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants*, Doc. Math. **4** (1999), 285-340.

[Ma2] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with presentations of subshifts*, Doc. Math. **7** (2002), 1-30.

[Ma3] K. Matsumoto, *A simple purely infinite C^*-algebra associated with a lambda-graph system of Motzkin shift*, Math. Z. **248** (2004), 369-394.

[Ma4] K. Matsumoto, *K-theoretic invariants and conformal measures of the Dyck subshift*, Internat. J. Math. **16** (2005), 213-248.

[Ma5] K. Matsumoto, *Construction and pure infiniteness of C^*-algebras associated with lambda-graph systems*, Math. Scand. **97** (2005), 73-88.

[Ma6] K. Matsumoto, *On the simple C^*-algebras arising from Dyck systems*, to appear in J. Operator Theory.

[Ma7] K. Matsumoto, *K-theory for the simple C^*-algebra of the Fibonacci Dyck system*, in preparation.

e-mail: kengo@yokohama-cu.ac.jp